by Khalid Mohammed Khan*, Shagufta Rahat, Muhammad Iqbal Choudhary, Atta-ur-Rahman, Usman Ghani, Shahnaz Perveen, Shagufta Khatoon, Ahsana Dar, and Abdul Malik

H.E.J. Research Institute of Chemistry, International Center for Chemical Sciences, University of Karachi-75270, Pakistan

(Phone: (92-21)-9243224, 9243211; fax: (92-21)-9243190-91; e-mail:hassaan2@super.net.pk)

To evaluate the effect of substituents on biological activities of electron-rich N-containing heterocycles, the variably 2-substituted 5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitriles $26 - 33$ were synthesized and evaluated for antibacterial, antifungal, and enzyme-inhibition activities. The target compounds were obtained from alkyl 4- or 3-hydroxy benzoates 1 and 2, respectively, and from methyl indoleacetate 3. The phenolic OH group of benzoates 1 and 2 were substituted with p-toluenesulfonyl (\rightarrow 4 and 5), benzoyl (\rightarrow 6 and 7), and benzyl groups (\rightarrow 8 and 9) and then converted to 5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitriles. To establish structure-activity relationships (SAR), a pharmacological screening of the intervening intermediates was also conducted, which revealed that the intermediate hydrazide 11 possesses significant antimicrobial and MAO-A inhibiting properties and intermediates 12, 24, 28, and 29 appreciable antifungal activities. Compound 7 inhibits α -chymotrypsin.

Introduction. $- A$ diversity of biological effects is associated with 1,3,4-oxadiazine and its derivatives, they exhibit cardiovascular $[1-3]$, antibacterial $[4]$, plant-growth regulating [5], miticidal and nematocidal [6], acricidal [7], and insecticidal activities [8] and monoamine-oxidase (MAO) inhibition [9]. The promising therapeutic potential of this class of compounds prompted us to synthesize and biologically screen a series of structural variants of $4H-1,3,4$ -oxidiazine-5(6H)-ones. To establish structure activity relationships (SAR), the activities of the intervening intermediates were also determined. Thus, the readily available alkyl benzoates 1, 2, and $4-9$ and the indoleacetate 3 were transformed to the target 5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitriles $26 - 33$ in excellent yields. The structures of $26 - 33$ were established by spectroscopic techniques, and their biological activities were determined.

Results and Discussion. - The synthesis of the 5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4oxadiazine-4-propanenitriles $26 - 33$ is outlined in the *Scheme*. The phenolic OH group of the benzoates 1 and 2 was substituted with p-toluenesulfonyl (\rightarrow 4 and 5), benzoyl $(-6$ and 7) and benzyl groups $(-8$ and 9). The benzoates 1, 2, and 4-9, and the indoleacetate 3 were then treated with hydrazine hydrate in EtOH to afford the hydrazides $10 - 17$. Alkylation of a hydrazide occurs at the N(1) or N(2) atom depending upon the conditions; thus, in neutral medium, the terminal $N(2)$ atom [10] is alkylated, whereas, in the presence of a strong base like Na or NaOMe, the position of alkylation is strongly dependent on the nature of the solvent [11]: aprotic solvents like

i) N₂H₄, H₂O, EtOH, reflux, 48 h. *ii*) H₂C=CHCN, EtOH, reflux, 48 h. *iii*) ClCH₂COCl, CHCl₃, reflux, 1 h. $iv)$ K₂CO₃, EtOH, reflux, 18–24 h.

Et₂O and benzene favor $N(1)$ substitution, while, in protic solvents like EtOH, $N(2)$ substitution predominates. *Michael* reaction between hydrazides $10 - 17$ and acrylonitrile led exclusively to $N(2)$ -substituted derivates $18 - 25$. Under no circumstances was the formation of double Michael products detectable. The cyclization of the 2-(2 cyanoethyl)hydrazides $18 - 25$ was brought about with chloroaectyl chloride in the presence of K_2CO_3 to furnish the target 5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4propanenitriles $26 - 33$ in excellent yields.

All compounds synthesized were assessed for enzyme-inhibitory activity against monoamine oxidase (MAO), serine proteases (α -chymotrypsin, trypsin, and elastase), cysteine protease papain, and tyrosinase enzymes. Significant inhibition was observed for monoamine oxidase and α -chymotrypsin; the other enzymes were found to be either resistant or only partially sensitive to the compounds tested.

MAO Activities in the absence of test compounds (control enzyme) were found to be 18 ± 1.7 nmol of H₂O₂ h⁻¹ mg⁻¹ of protein. This activity was inhibitied by hydrazide 11 in a concentration-dependent manner and similarly to the monoamine oxidase A (MAO-A) inhibitors clorgyline and moclobemide [12]; clorgyline, moclobemide, and 11 caused complete enzyme inhibition at 0.1, 10000, and 100 μ m, respectively (*Fig. 1*). The inhibitor concentrations required for 50% inhibition of enzyme (IC_{50}) decreased in the order moclobemide $>$ hydrazide 11 $>$ clorgyline (Table 1). Although 11 was ca. 60 times less potent than clorgyline (a nonselective inhibitor of MAO-A); it was ca. 80 times more potent than moclobemide, a clinically effective, selective inhibitor of MAO-A. This suggests that hydrazide 11 may have potential for future research aimed at the synthesis of a better antidepressant agent. On the other hand, compound 33 failed to inhibit enzyme activity up to the highest tested concentration $(100 \mu M)$. Since hydrazide 10 containing a p -OH group and hydrazide 14 bearing a m -TsO group failed to inhibit MAO, these groups do probably not interfere with the enzyme. The dramatic inhibition of MAO by hydrazide 11 containing a p -TsO group suggests that this group is likely to be responsible for the observed interaction with the enzyme. However, the conversion of the hydrazide to the oxadiazine ring $(11 \rightarrow 27)$ resulted in complete loss of activity, implying that the $N(2)$ atom of the hydrazide plays a crucial role. Thus, simultaneous occurrence of a hydrazide moiety and a p-TsO group at a phenyl ring are prerequisites for MAO inhibition.

The most potent among the inhibitors of α -chymotrypsin was benzoate 7 containing a *m*-BzO group ($IC_{50} = 29.34 \pm 1.15 \,\mu\text{m}$), while other compounds of the series showed moderate to weak activity (*Table 2, Fig. 2*). The active site of α -chymotrypsin contains a primary specificity pocket that interacts with the bulky side chains of the amino acids tyrosine, phenylalanine, and tryptophan, suggesting that the inhibitory activity of 7 might be due to its aryl rings. To determine which aryl ring of 7 is critical for inhibition, its inhibitory activity was compared with that of benzoate 5 containing a m-TsO group. A significant decrease in the activity was observed in the latter case (see Table 2 and Fig. 2).

A further decrease of α -chymotrypsin inhibition was observed when the hydrazide moiety was converted to a 2-(2-cyanoethyl)hydrazide function. Moreover, none of the target oxadiazine derivatives were significantly active, probably due to affinity or steric factors. Thus, the presence of a m-BzO group at the benzoate plays an important role in the inhibition of α -chymotrypsin.

Fig. 1. Effect of various concentrations of the inhibitors a) clorgyline, b) hydrazide 11 , and c) moclobemide on MAO-A activity. A sample of synaptosomes (28 mg/ml protein) was pre-incubated with the inhibitor for 20 min at 37° before the addition of 5-hydroxytryptamine $(500 \mu M)$. Inhibition of enzyme activity is expressed as % of remaining activity of the control sample pre-incubated without the inhibitor. Each bar is the mean of $2 - 6$ determinations, each in duplicate or triplicate.

Table 1. IC_{50} Values of Monoamine-Oxidase Inhibitors (clorgyline and moclobemide) and of Compound 11

	Clorgyline	Moclobemide	11
IC_{50} [μ M]	0.05	つつご ں اے	3.2

Table 2. Inhibition of a-Chymotrypsin by 2-Substituted 5,6-Dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitriles and of Their Intermediates

Antibacterial activity of various synthesized compounds was tested against six Gram-positive and four Gram-negative bacterial strains (Table 3). The results were compared with the standard drugs amoxillin and ampicillin. Hydrazide 11 having a p-TsO substituent at the phenyl ring was found to be the most active against a broad range of both *Gram*-positive and *Gram*-negative bacteria. Interestingly, hydrazide 10 having a p-OH group showed significant activity against *Pseudomonas aeroginosa* and Salmonella typhi and a modest activity against Shigella boydii and Streptococcus pyogenes. The corresponding 2-(2-cyanoethyl)hydrazide 18 showed varying activities against Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, P. aeroginosa, S. typhi, S. aureus, and S. pyogenes, and the corresponding 4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine derivative 26 displayed weak activity against P . mirabilis, S . typhi, S . boydii, S . aureus, and S . pyogenes but was significantly active against K . *pneumoniae*, P . *aeroginosa*, and B . *cerous*. Compounds 12 , 20, and 28 all having a p-BzO group, predominantly exhibited weak to moderate activity against some of the bacterial strains. Hydrazide 13 demonstrated moderate activity against K. pneumoniae, P. mirabilis, P. aeroginosa, S. typhi, S. boydii, S. aureus, and S. *pyogenes*, while its cyanoethyl derivative 21 displayed the same degree of activity against $E.$ coli, $K.$ pneumoniae, $P.$ aeroginosa, $S.$ typhi, $S.$ boydii, and $S.$ aureus, and no activity against P. mirabilis, P. cerous, C. diptheriae, and S. pyogenes as compared to its precursor. The corresponding 4H-oxadiazine derivative 29 failed to show activity against E. coli, P. mirabilis, S. boydii, B. cerous, S. aureus, and S. pyogenes, moderately active against K . pneumoniae, P. aeroginosa, and C . diptheriae, and significant activity against *S. typhi*. Compounds 19 and 27, the 2-(2-cyanoethyl)hydrazide and oxadiazine derivatives of hydrazide 11, respectively, were less active compared with 11. The important bactericidal activity of 11 could be related to the strong electron-withdrawing nature of the p-TsO substituent at the phenyl ring. In general, poor activity of the oxadiazine derivatives is possibly due to the steric factors being responsible for retarding passive diffusion of the molecule into the bacterial cell. It has been suggested

Fig. 2. Effect of various compounds as inhibitors of a -chymotrypsin: a) 1 μ m of 21, 25, and 33 and b) 100 μ m of 4, 5, 6, 8, 27, and 32

that less substitution at an aromatic ring promotes passive diffusion. The electronic nature of the substituent at the p-position and steric factors promotes passive diffusion. The electronic nature of the substituent at the p -position and steric factors associated with the six-membered oxadiazine ring thereby may be responsible for the poor bactericidal activity.

Hydrazide 11 containing a p-TsO group was the most potent bactericidal agent as compared to its parent Me ester 4 and all the tested compounds. However, alkylation at the N(2)-atom of the hydrazide moiety attenuated the antibacterial activity, revealing that the N(2)-atom of the hydrazide moiety is indispensable for the bacterial inhibition. Cyclization caused a further decline in the antibacterial activity, indicating that the Hatom at N(2) in open-chain compounds is probably responsible for it.

Helvetica Chimica Acta – Vol. 85 (2002) 565

Table 3. Antibacterial Activity of 2-Aryl-Substituted 5,6-Dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitriles and of Their Intermediates^a)

	4	6	10	11	12	13	18	19	20	21	26	27	28	29
Gram-negative:														
Escherichia coli	7.0	7.0	0.5	11	000.5	9.0	00	6.0	7.0	5.0	0.5	7.0	0.5	
Klebsiella pneumoniae	00	$00\,$	0.5	12	7.0	6.0	8.0	6.0	0 ⁰	7.0	8.0	6	6.0	6.0
Proteus mirabilis	0 ⁰	00	00 ²	10	00 ¹	6.0	00	8.0	00	$00 \,$	0.5	0 ⁰	0.5	0 ⁰
Pseudomonas aeroginosa	00	6.0	9.0	12	00	6.0	7.0	6.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	0.5	7.0	7.0
Salmonella typhi	8.0	$00\,$	8.0	-11	00 ²	7.0	6.0	$00 \,$	$00 \,$	6.0	0.5	6.0	7.0	-8.0
Shigella boydii	00	$00 \,$	6.0	10	00	6.0	0.5	6.0	$00 \,$	7.0	0.5	7.0	7.0	0.5
Gram-positive:														
Bacillus cerous	6.0	$00 \,$	0 ⁰	10	00 ²	0.5	0.5	0 ⁰	$00 \,$	$00 \,$	8.0	0.5	00 ²	0.5
Corynebacterium diptheriae	6.0	8.0	0.5	11	00	0.7	0 ⁰	00	8.0	0 ⁰	6.0	0 ⁰	00 ²	6.0
Staphylococcus aureus	7.0	7.0	00	9.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	0 ⁰	6.0	00 ²	00 ⁰
Streptococus pyogenes	00	5.5	7.0	11	7.0	7.0	6.0	6.0	0 ⁰	00	00	8.0	0.5	0 ⁰

The 2-aryl-substituted 5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitriles and their synthetic intermediates were also tested for their *in vitro* antifungal activity against the eleven fungal strains Aspergillus flavus, Trichophyton schoenleinii, Trichophyton rubrum, Pseudallescheria boydii, Candida albicans, Aspergillus niger, Microsporum canis, Tricophyton simii, Trichophyton mentagrophytes, Fusarium oxysporum, and Fusarium solani (see Table 4). The results were compared with the standard drugs micoanazole, ketocanozole, benlate, and nabam. Hydrazide 11 having a p-TsO substituent at the phenyl ring showed strong growth-inhibitory activity against C. albicans and P. boydii in an agar-dilution assay, indicating that it can be considered a lead for design of drug against candidiasis. Hydrazide 12 containing a p-BzO group showed significant activity against P. boydii and F. oxysporum, whereas 24 containing a m -BnO group and a side chain at the N(2) atom was active against P. boydii. The p-BzO-substituted $4H-1,3,4$ -oxadiazine derivative 28 appeared to be active against T. simii, whereas the p -BnO-substituted 4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine derivative 29, showed activity against M. canis. In general, the oxadiazine derivatives were very weakly active.

Table 4. Antifungal Activity of 2-Aryl-Substituted 5,6-Dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitriles and of Their Intermediates

	4	6	8	10	11	12	13	18	19	20	21	24	26	27	28	-29
Aspergillus flavus	00	00	0 ⁰	00	0 ⁰	57	00	00	00	0 ⁰	0 ⁰	58	00	0 ⁰	00 ¹	- 00
Trichophton schoenleinii	16	00 ¹	-33	50	0 ⁰	00	3.0	42	0 ⁰	$00 \,$	-61	0 ⁰	50	50	00 ¹	-34
Trichophyton rubrum	00	00 ¹	0 ⁰	56	0 ⁰	00	00 ¹	00	7.0	0 ⁰	0 ⁰	0 ⁰	67	33	00	Ω
Pseudallescheria boydii	00	00 ²	0 ⁰	33	82	95	00 ¹	00	0 ⁰	0 ⁰	0 ⁰	100	00	45	00	-00
Candida albicans	ററ	00 ²	0 ⁰	7.0	100	7.0	0 ⁰	00 ²	0 ⁰	0 ⁰	0 ⁰	15	00	0 ⁰	$00 -$	Ω
Aspergillus niger	12	00 ¹	36	0 ⁰	3.0	9.0	$00 \,$	14	00	0 ⁰	7.0	6.0	50	00	$00 \quad 15$	
Microsporum canis	00	00 ¹	50	0 ⁰	6.0	52	00 ¹	33	00	00 ¹	.54	0 ⁰	71	14	0 ⁰	-88
Trichopyton simii	25	0 ⁰	5.0	50	0 ⁰	00	$00 \,$	15	0 ⁰	00 ¹	38	0 ⁰	30	60	100	30
Trichophytonmentagrophytes	00	00 ²	0 ⁰	00	0 ⁰	29	00 ¹	00	0 ⁰	0 ⁰	0 ⁰	0 ⁰	00	0 ⁰	00	Ω
Fusarium oxysporum	50	0 ⁰	50	0 ⁰	29	83	50	50	0 ⁰	0 ⁰	50	19	25	14	7	-30
Fusarium solani	30	00 ²	48	00	0 ⁰	31	60	56.	00	00 ¹	.54	58	$00 -$	25	12	-30

Conclusions. – The structure-activity relationship (SAR) study conducted with 2aryl-substituted 5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitriles for selected biological activities indicated that these compounds were slightly active, in contrast to our hypothesis. Interestingly, some intermediates of their syntheses exhibited biological activities. Particularly, hydrazide 11 bearing a p-TsO group demonstrated significant monoamine-oxidase inhibition and bactericidal properties, suggesting that the electron-withdrawing nature of p-TsO probably enhances the interaction with the active sites of the enzyme and its entry into the bacterial cell. Compounds 11, 12, 24, 28, and 29 demonstrated excellent antifungal activities against a few fungal strains. The m-BzOsubstituted benzoate strongly inhibited α -chymotrypsin, the *m*-BzO group in this type of ester probably playing an important role in the inhibition process. Possibilities also exist for further exploring the structure-activity relationships of these compounds.

Experimental Part

General. Anh. CHCl₃ and EtOH were prepared by standard methods. All other solvents and reagents were reagent grade and used directly without purification, except for chloroacetyl chloride and benzoyl chloride, which were distilled before use. M.p.: open capillaries, *Büchi-535* apparatus; uncorrected. Column chromatography: silica gel (70-230 mesh, E. Merck). IR: Shimadzu IR-460 for KBr pellets and Jasco A-302 s pectrophotometer for CHCl₃ solns.; in cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR: *Bruker* apparatus; at 300 and 400 MHz; δ in ppm rel. to $\text{SiMe}_4 \left(= 0 \text{ ppm} \right)$ as internal standard. EI-MS: *Finnigan-MAT 311-A* apparatus; *m*/*z* (rel. %).

Preparation of Synaptosomes. Adult Wistar rats (both sexes, locally bred) were sacrificed by rapid decapitation, followed by quick removal of brains. Homogenate was prepared in 20 volumes of 0.32m ice-cold sucrose by means of polytron (8000 min⁻¹ \times 8 for 3 s). The homogenate was centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min at 4° , and the supernatant was further centrifuged at 17000 g for 30 min. The pellet obtained was resuspended in 10 volumes of sucrose and homogenized by means of polytron as described above. Synaptosomes were used either for the determination of MAO-A activity immediately or aliquoted and stored at -50° till further use [13].

Monoamine Oxidase Assay: MAO-A Activity was determined by the fluorometric method [14] [15] with 5hydroxytryptamine (500 μ M) as a substrate. Synaptosomes (20 μ) were pre-incubated either with buffer or test compound (11 or 33 in 10% DMSO in d/w) for 30 min at 37°, followed by the addition of substrate (50 μ). Assay tubes were incubated for 20 min with a final reaction volume of 200 µl. An adrenaline/peroxidase system was used to form fluorescent adrenolutine; the intensity of the fluorescence was determined at an emission wavelength of 550 nm with an excitation wavelength of 405 nm. In all of the experiments, H_2O_2 (2 nmol) was used as a standard that gave a fluorescence of 251 ± 3.5 . The control enzyme activity was expressed in nmol of H_2O_2 formed h⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein. The % inhibition of enzyme activity in the presence of test compound was determined by comparing it with the control value. Clorgyline (an irreversible MAO-A inhibitor) at 0.1 caused complete enzyme inhibition, confirming the presence of MAO-A in the reaction mixture. Protein concentrations were determined by the method of Lowry et al. [16] with bovine serum albumin as a standard.

Chymotrypsin-Inhibition Assay. Protease inhibitory activity of selected synthesized compounds was determined according to [17]. Increasing concentrations of compounds were incubated with α -chymotrypsin (9 U/ml) in 50 mm Tris HCl buffer (pH 7.6) at 25° for 30 min. Release of 4-nitroaniline was continuously monitored at 405 nm in a SprctraMax-340 microplate reader (Molecular Devices, USA) immediately after the addition of 50 μ of substrate N-succinyl-phenylalanine-4-nitroanilide (2 mg/ml). Results were compared with chymostatin, a standard inhibitor of chymotrypsin [18]. The % inhibition was calculated as the difference of absorbance values with and without compounds (see Table 2).

Antibacterial Activity. The synthesized compounds were tested against six Gram-negative (E. coli, K. pneumoniae, P. mirabilis, P. aeroginosa, S. typhi, and S. boydii) and four Gram-positive (B. cerous, C. diptheriae, S. aureus, and S. pyogenes) bacterial strains by the agar-well-diffusion method [19]. The wells were dug in the media with the help of a sterile metallic borer with centers at least 24 mm apart. Two- to eight-hours-old bacterial inocula containing approximately $10^4 - 10^6$ colony-forming units (CFU)/ml were spread on the surface of nutrient agar with the help of a sterile cotton swab. The recommended concentration of the test sample (2 mg/ ml in DMSO) was introduced in respective wells. Other wells supplemented with DMSO and reference antibacterial drugs served as negative and positive controls, respectively. The plates were incubated immediately

at 37° for 20 h. Activity was determined by measuring the diameter of zones showing complete inhibition (mm). Growth inhibition was calculated with reference to positive control.

Antifungal Activity. The synthesized compounds were tested for antifungal activity against six human pathogens (A. flavus, T. schoenleinii, T. rubrum, P. boydii, C. albicans, and A. niger), three animal pathogens (M. canis, T. simii, and T. mentagrophytes), and two plant pathogens (F. oxysporum and F. solani) by means of the tube diffusion test [20]. Micoanazole (75 μ g/ml), ketocanozole (75 μ g/ml), benlate (100 μ g/ml), and nabam $(50 \mu g/ml)$ were used as standard drugs. Stock solns. of pure compounds $(12 \mu g/ml)$ were prepared in sterile DMSO. Sabouraud dextrose agar was prepared by mixing sabouraud (32.5g), glucose agar (4%) and agar-agar $(4 g)$ in 500 ml of distilled H₂O followed by steam dissolution; the media $(4 ml)$ was dispensed into screw-caped tubes and autoclaved at 121 $^{\circ}$ for 15 min. Test compound (66.6 μ) was added from the stock soln. to nonsolidified sabouraud agar media (50°). Tubes were allowed to solidify at r.t. and inoculated with 4-mm diameter of inocula derived from seven-days-old respective fungal culture. For nonmycelial growth, an agar surface streak was employed. The tubes incubated at $27 - 29^{\circ}$ for $7 - 10$ days and the growth in the compound-containing media was determined by measuring the linear growth (mm) and growth inhibition with reference to the respective control.

Benzoates 4 and 5: General Procedure. TsCl (0.04 mol) was added in small portions to a cold soln. of 1 or 2 (0.03 mol) in pyridine (0.06 mol) at <10°. After stirring for 3 h at <10°, the mixture was kept overnight at 5° , neutralized with 2M HCl, and filtered. The solid was washed with H₂O and recrystallized from MeOH: 4 or 5, resp.

Methyl 4-{[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy}benzoate (4): Yield 89%. M.p. 85°. IR (KBr): 3000, 1725, 1440. ${}^{1}H\text{-}NMR$ (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.42 (s, 3 H); 3.82 (s, 3 H); 7.12 – 7.95 (*m*, 8 arom. H). Anal. calc. for $C_{15}H_{14}O_5S$ (306.24): C 58.83, H 4.61; found: C 58.73, H 4.59.

Ethyl 3-{[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy]benzoate (5): Yield 79%. M.p. 37°. IR (KBr): 3000, 1725, 1375. $1H-NMR$ (300 MHz, $(D_6)DMSO$): 1.34 $(t, 3H)$; 2.43 $(s, 3H)$; 4.32 $(q, 2H)$; 7.32–7.93 $(m, 8 \text{ atom. H})$. Anal. calc. for $C_{16}H_{16}O_5S$ (320.27): C 60.00, H 5.03, found: C 59.91, H 5.00.

Benzoates 6 and 7: General Procedure. To a mixture of 1 or 2 (0.03 mol) in pyridine (0.06 mol), benzoyl chloride (0.05mol) was added dropwise and the mixture stirred overnight. The mixture was then poured in crushed ice and acidified with dil. HCl soln. The resulting crystalline solid was filtered, washed with MeOH (20 ml) and $H₂O$ (20 ml), and air-dried: 6 or 7, resp.

Methyl 4-(Benzoyloxy)benzoate (6): Yield 73%. M.p. 126°. IR (KBr): 3000, 1725, 1680. ¹H-NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, (D_6)$ DMSO): 3.81 $(s, 3 \text{ H})$; 7.41 – 8.13 $(m, 9 \text{ arom. H})$. Anal. calc. for $C_{15}H_{12}O_4$ (256.25): C 70.30, H 4.72; found: C 70.24, H 4.68.

Ethyl 3-(Benzoyloxy)benzoate (7): Yield 80%. M.p. 52. IR (KBr): 3000, 1720, 1680. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, (D_6) DMSO): 1.33 (t, 3 H), 4.35 (q, 2 H); 7.61 – 8.02 (m, 9 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C₁₆H₁₄O₄ (270.30): C 71.10, H 5.22; found: C 71.08, H 5.18.

Benzoates 8 and 9. General Procedure. To a mixture of 1 or 2 (0.02 mol) and K_2CO_3 (0.04 mol) in acetone (30 ml), benzyl bromide (0.02 mol) was added dropwise at r.t. The mixture was refluxed at 60° for 3 h and then filtered. The filtrate was evaporated and the residue crystallized from hot hexane: 8 or 9, resp.

Methyl 4-(Benzyloxy)benzoate (8): Yield 62%. M.p. 94°. IR (KBr): 3300, 1725, 1600. 1H-NMR (300 MHz, (D_6) DMSO): 3.75 (s, 3 H); 5.14 (s, 2 H); 7.12 – 7.91 (m, 9 arom. H). Anal. calc. for $C_{15}H_{14}O_3$ (242.27): C 74.37, H 5.82; found: C 74.32, H 5.79.

Ethyl 3-(Benzyloxy)benzoate (9): Yield 82%. M.p. 69°. IR (KBr): 3300, 1725, 1600. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D_6) DMSO): 1.32 (t, 3 H); 3.82 (s, 3 H); 5.25 (s, 2 H); 4.32 (q, 2 H); 7.21 – 7.65 (m, 9 arom. H). Anal. calc. for $C_{16}H_{16}O_3$ (256.30): C 74.98, H 5.82; found: C 74.93, H 5.75.

Hydrazides 10-17: General Procedure. To 99% hydrazine hydrate (0.01 mol) in EtOH (3.7 ml), 1 or one of 3-9 (0.06 mol) was added in small portions and then heated under reflux for 28 h. After evaporation, the oily residue crystallized overnight in the cold.

4-Hydroxybenzoic Acid Hydrazide (**10**): Yield 80%. M.p. 268°. IR (KBr): 3350, 3000, 1620. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D_6) DMSO): 6.75 – 7.62 (dd, 4 H); 9.52 (s, 1 H). Anal. calc. for C₇H₈N₂O₂ (152.15): C 55.26, H 5.3, N 18.41; found: C 55.22, H 5.32, N 18.37.

4-[[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy]benzoic Acid Hydrazide (11): Yield 63%. M.p. 105°. IR (KBr): 3350, 1690, 1640, 1380. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.38 (s, 3 H); 6.75 – 7.90 (m, 8 arom. H); 9.49 (s, 1 H). Anal. calc. for C₁₄H₁₄N₂O₄S (306.24): C 54.90, H 4.60, N 9.14; found: C 54.87, H 4.51, N 9.11.

4-(Benzoyloxy)benzoic Acid Hydrazide (12): Yield 69%. M.p. 255. IR (KBr): 3350, 1700, 1690, 1640. ${}^{1}H\text{-NMR}$ (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 6.80 – 7.68 (*m*, 9 arom. H); 9.46 (s, 1 H). Anal. calc. for C₁₄H₁₂N₂O₃ (256.26): C 65.61, H 4.72, N 10.93; found: C 65.60, H 4.68, N 10.90.

4-(Benzyloxy)benzooic Acid Hydrazide (**13**): Yield 73%. M.p. 140°. IR (KBr): 3290, 1680, 1600. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D_6) DMSO): 5.15 (s, 2 H); 7.03 – 7.85 (m, 9 arom. H); 9.38 (s, 1 H). Anal. calc. for $C_{14}H_{14}N_2O_2$ (242.27): C 69.40, H 5.82, N 11.56; found: C 69.37, H 5.84, N 11.47.

3-{[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy}benzoic Acid Hydrazide (14): Yield 72%. M.p. 155. IR (KBr): 3300, 1660, 1610, 1375. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.42 (s, 3 H); 7.16 – 7.90 (*m*, 8 arom. H); 9.91 (s, 1 H). Anal. calc. for C₁₄H₁₄N₂O₄S (306.24): C 54.90, H 4.60, N 9.14; found: C 54.87, H 4.52, N 9.17.

3-(Benzoyloxy)benzoic Acid Hydrazide (15): Yield 60%. M.p. 154°. IR (KBr): 3300, 1710, 1680, 1620. $1H\text{-NMR } (300 \text{ MHz}, (D_6) \text{DMSO})$: 7.62 – 8.15 (*m*, 9 arom. H); 9.65 (*s*, 1 H). Anal. calc. for C₁₄H₁₂N₂O₃ (256.26): C 65.62, H 4.72, N 10.93; found: C 65.63, H 4.67, N 10.90.

3-(Benzyloxy)benzoic Acid Hydrazide (**16**): Yield 85%. M.p. 127°. IR (KBr): 3300, 1640, 1610. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D_6) DMSO): 5.21 (s, 2 H); 7.25 - 7.63 (m, 9 arom. H); 9.82 (s, 1 H). Anal. calc. for C₁₄H₁₄N₂O₂ (242.27): C 69.40, H 5.82, N 11.56; found: C 69.29, H 5.86, N 11.49.

1H-Indole-3-acetic Acid Hydrazide (**17**): Yield 68%. M.p. 137°. IR (KBr): 3300, 1640, 1620. ¹H-NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, (D_6)$ DMSO): 4.27 (s, 2 H); 6.90 – 7.58 (m, 5 arom. H); 9.81 (s, 1 H). Anal. calc. for C₁₀H₁₁N₃O (189.21): C 63.48, H 5.86, N 22.21; found: C 63.41, H 5.80, N 22.17.

2-(2-Cyanoethyl)hydrazides $18-25$: General Procedure. To one of the hydrazides $10-17$ (0.5 mol) in EtOH (172 ml), acrylonitrile (1.5mol) was added and the mixture heated under reflux for 48 h. After evaporation, the residue was chromatographed (silica gel, hexane/AcOEt $7:3$): crystalline $18-25$.

4-Hydroxybenzoic Acid 2-(2-Cyanoethyl)hydrazide (18): Yield 75%. M.p. 135. IR (KBr): 3500, 3350, 2350, 1660, 1610. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.62 (t, 2 H); 3.05 (t, 2 H); 6.90–7.74 (dd, 4 H); 10.0 (s, 1 H). Anal. calc. for $C_{10}H_{11}N_2O_2$ (205.21): C 58.53, H 5.40, N 20.48; found: C 58.46, H 5.28, N 20.56.

4-{[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy}benzoic Acid 2-(2-Cyanoethyl)hydrazide (19): Yield 61%. M.p. 103. IR (KBr): 3300, 2240, 1690, 1620. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.40 (s, 3 H); 2.62 (t, 2 H); 3.05 (t, 2 H); 7.15– 7.82 (m, 8 arom. H); 10.1 (d, 1 H). Anal. calc. for C₁₇H₁₇N₃O₄S (359.31): C 56.83, H 4.77, N 11.70; found: C 56.84, H 4.69, N 11.71.

4-(Benzoyloxy)benzoic Acid 2-(2-Cyanoethyl)hydrazide (20): Yield 50%. M.p. 128°. IR (KBr): 3300, 2230, 1725, 1670, 1625. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.63 (t, 2 H); 3.05 (t, 2 H); 7.15 – 7.82 (m, 9 arom. H); 10.1 (d, 1 H). Anal. calc. for $C_{17}H_{15}N_3O_3$ (309.32): C 66.01, H 4.89, N 13.59; found: C 66.03, H 4.81, N 13.61.

4-(Benzyloxy)benzoic Acid 2-(2-Cyanoethyl)hydrazide (21): Yield 66%. M.p. 139. IR (KBr): 3310, 2240, 1680, 1630. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D_6) DMSO): 2.62 $(t, 2 H)$; 3.0 $(t, 2 H)$; 5.15 $(s, 2 H)$; 7.05 – 7.85 $(m, 9 \text{ atom. H})$; 10.01 (d, 1 H). Anal. calc. for $C_{17}H_{17}N_3O_2$ (295.34): C 69.14, H 5.80, N 14.23; found: C 69.10, H 5.86, N 14.17.

3-{[(4-Methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy}benzoic Acid2-(2-Cyanoethyl)hydrazide (22): Yield 64%. M.p. 39. IR (KBr): 3300, 2300, 1680, 1600, 1375. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, (D6)DMSO): 2.42 (s, 3 H); 2.6 (t, 2 H); 3.0 (t, 2 H); 7.2 - 7.91 (m, 8 arom. H); 10.1 (s, 1 H). Anal. calc. for $C_{17}H_{17}N_3O_4S$ (359.31): C 56.83, H 4.77, N 11.70; found: C 56.80, H 4.81, N 11.67.

3-(Benzoyloxy)benzoic Acid 2-(2-Cyanoethyl)hydrazide (23): Yield 55%. M.p. 192. IR (KBr): 3350, 2230, 1670, 1600. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D_6) DMSO): 2.62 (t, 2 H); 2.91 (t, 2 H); 6.90 – 8.05 (m, 9 arom. H); 10.15 (s, 1 H). Anal. calc. for $C_{17}H_{15}N_3O_3$ (309.32): C 66.01, H 4.89, N 13.59; found: C 65.96, H 4.87, N 13.58.

3-(Benzyloxy)benzoic Acid 2-(2-Cyanoethyl)hydrazide (24): Yield 72%. M.p. 68°. IR (KBr): 3300, 2240, 1680, 1620. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.62 (t, 2 H); 3.25 (t, 2 H); 5.15 (s, 2 H); 7.15 – 7.55 (m, 9 arom. H); 10.1 (d, 1 H). Anal. calc. for C₁₇H₁₇N₃O₂ (295.34): C 69.14, H 5.80, N 14.23; found: C 69.12, H 5.75, N 14.19.

1H-Indole-3-acetic Acid 2-(2-Cyanoethyl)hydrazide (25): Yield 75%. M.p. 123. IR (KBr): 3300, 2250, 1660, 1620. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.62 (t, 2 H); 3.05 (t, 2 H); 4.21 (s, 2 H); 6.90 – 7.50 (d, 1 H); 10.01 (s, 1 H). Anal. calc. for C₁₃H₁₄N₄O (242.28): C 64.45, H 5.82, N 23.13; found: C 64.41, H 5.75, N 23.09.

 $5,6$ -Dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitriles $26 - 33$: General Procedure. To a soln. of one of the (cyanoethyl)hydrazides $18-25$ (0.01 mol) in anh. CHCl₃ (135 ml), chloroacetyl chloride (0.02 mol) was added dropwise, and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h. After cooling and evaporation, the residue was dissolved in anh. EtOH (120 ml) , K₂CO₃ (0.04 mol) added, and the mixture refluxed overnight under stirring. Filtration and evaporation gave, in each case, an oily residue, which was chromatographed (silica gel, hexane/AcOEt 7 : 3): $26 - 33.$

5,6-Dihydro-2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitrile (26): Yield 67%. M.p. 209. IR (KBr): 3500, 2360, 1660. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.90 (t, 2 H); 3.91 (t, 2 H); 4.80 (s, 2 H); 6.82 – 7.65 (dd, 4 arom. H). Anal. calc. for $C_1H_{11}N_3O_3$ (245.23): C 58.77, H 4.52, N 17.13; found: C 58.71, H 4.49, N 17.17.

5,6-Dihydro-2-{4-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy}phenyl}-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitrile (27) : Yield 66%. M.p. 109°. IR (KBr): 2320, 1660. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.42 (s, 3 H); 2.92 (t, 2 H); 3.90 (t, 2 H); 4.85 (s, 2 H); 7.15 - 7.82 (m, 8 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C₁₉H₁₇N₃O₅S (399.33): C 57.17, H 4.29, N 10.52; found: C 57.10, H 4.19, N 10.57.

2-[4-(Benzoyloxy)phenyl]-5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitrile (28): Yield 68%. M.p. 153°. IR (KBr): 2240, 1680. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.90 (t, 2 H); 3.91 (t, 2 H); 4.99 (s, 2 H); 6.81 – 7.42 (m, 9 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C₁₉H₁₅N₃O₄ (349.34): C 65.32, H 4.33, N 12.03; found: C 65.27, H 4.26, N 12.00.

2-[4-(Benzyloxy)phenyl]-5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitrile (29): Yield 76%. M.p. 140. IR (KBr): 2240, 1680. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, (D6)DMSO): 2.90 (t, 2 H); 3.91 (t, 2 H); 4.84 (s, 2 H); 5.22 $(s, 2 H)$; 7.20 – 7.35 (m, 9 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C₁₉H₁₇N₃O₃ (335.36): C 68.05, H 5.11, N 12.53; found: C 68.00, H 5.15, N 12.48.

5,6-Dihydro-2-{3-{[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]oxy}phenyl}-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitrile (30) : Yield 60%. M.p. 277°. IR (KBr): 2260, 1690. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.39 (s, 3 H); 2.82 (t, 2 H); 4.12 (t, 2 H); 4.78 (s, 2 H); 7.05 - 7.45 (m, 8 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C₁₉H₁₇N₃O₅S (399.33): C 57.18, H 4.29, N 10.52; found: C 57.14, H 4.30, N 10.48.

2-[3-(Benzoyloxy)phenyl]-5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitrile (31). Yield 65%. M.p. 228°. IR (KBr): 2260, 1698, 1665. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.82 (t, 2 H); 3.95 (t, 2 H); 4.85 (s, 2 H); 6.90 – 7.91 (m, 9 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C₁₉H₁₅N₃O₄ (349.34): C 65.32, H 4.33, N 12.03; found: C 65.27, H 4.35, N 12.00.

2-[3-(Benzyloxy)phenyl]-5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitrile (32): Yield 63%. M.p. 95°. IR (KBr): 2100, 1660. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.85 (t, 2 H); 3.91 (t, 2 H); 4.85 (s, 2 H); 5.16 $(s, 2 H)$; 7.10 - 7.45 (m, 9 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C₁₉H₁₇N₃O₃ (335.36): C 68.05, H 5.11, N 12.53; found: C 68.08, H 5.07, N 12.47.

2-(1H-Indol-3-ylmethyl)-5,6-dihydro-5-oxo-4H-1,3,4-oxadiazine-4-propanenitrile (33): Yield 85%. M.p. 89°. IR (KBr): 2350, 1685. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 2.82 (t, 2 H); 3.82 (t, 2 H); 4.40–4.70 (m, 4 H); 6.90 - 7.65 (m, 5 arom. H). Anal. calc. for C_1 ₅H₁₄N₄O₂ (282.30): C 63.82, H 5.00, N 19.85; found: C 63.76, H 4.93, N 19.88.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. B. Hargreaves, B. J. McLoughlin, S. D. Mills, Eur. Pat. 85,227, 1983; Chem. Abstr. 1984, 100, 6561.
- [2] I. Sircar, B. L. Duell, G. Bobowski, J. A. Bristol, D. B. Evans, J. Med. Chem. 1985, 28, 1405; W. H. Moos, C. C. Humblet, I. Sircar, C. Rithner, R. E. Weishaar, J. A. Bristol, A. T. McPhail, J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 1963; J. A. Bristol, I. Sircar, W. H. Moos, D. B. Evan, R. E. Weishaar, J. Med. Chem. 1984, 27, 1101; A. Mertens, W.-G. Friebe, B. Müller-Beckmann, W. Kampe, L. Kling, W. von der Saal, J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 2870.
- [3] W. John, Eur. Pat. Appl. EP 220,044, 1987; Chem. Abstr. 1988, 108, 112503.
- [4] K. Suhasini, R. T. V. Padmanabha, V. Thirupathaiah, Curr. Sci. 1983, 52, 1133; Chem. Abstr. 1984, 100, 156574.
- [5] V. A. Budagov, S. D. Kyazimova, Temat. Sb. Nauch. Tr. Azerb. NII Zashchity Rast. 1979, 79; Chem. Abstr. 1980, 94, 192289.
- [6] M. A. Dekeyser, A. Mishra, R. C. Moore, Chem. Abstr. 1987, 107, 59057.
- [7] M. A. Dekeyser, D. M. Borth, R. C. Moore, A. Mishra, Chem. Abstr. 1991, 114, 76982.
- [8] K. D. Wing, M. E. Schnee, M. Sacher, M. Connair, Arch. Insect. Biochem. Physiol. 1998, 37, 91; S. M. M. Ismail, R. A. Baines, R. G. H. Downer, M. A. Dekeyser, Pestic. Sci. 1996, 46, 163.
- [9] J. Wouters, F. Ooms, S. Jegham, J. J. Koenig, P. George, F. Durant, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1997, 32, 721; P. Dostert, E. M. O'Brien, K. F. Tipton, M. Meroni, P. Melloni, M. S. Benedetti, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1992, 27, 45; F. Mazouz, S. Gueddari, C. Burstein, D. Mansy, R. Milcent, J. Med. Chem. 1993, 36, 1157.
- [10] D. Barton, W. D. Olis, 'Comprehensive Organic Chemistry', Pergamon Press Inc., New York, 1979, Vol. 2, p. 1051.
- [11] R. L. Hinman, M. C. Flores, J. Org. Chem. 1959, 24, 660.
- [12] F. Radat, J. Berlin, O. Speux-Varoquax, S. Elatki, M. Ferreri, A. J. Puech, Psychopharmacology (Berlin) 1996, 127, 370; M. Da Parada, R. Kettler, H. H. Keller, W. P. Burkard, D. Muggli-Maniglio, W. Haefely, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1989, 248, 400.
- [13] C. R. Ashby Jr., L. A. Carr, C. Cook, M. M. Steptoe, D. D. Franks, Neuropsychobiology 1992, 25, 121.
- [14] G. C. Guilbault, P. J. Brignac, M. Juneau, Anal. Chem. 1968, 40, 1256.
- [15] A. Dar, S. Khatoon, Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 2000, 65, 1.
- [16] O. H. Lowry, N. J. Rosebrough, A. L. Farr, R. J. Randall, J. Biol. Chem. 1951, 193, 265.
- [17] P. J. R. Cannell, J. S. Kellam, M. A. Owsianka, M. J. Walker, Planta Med. 1988, 54, 10.
- [18] R. L. Stein, A. M. Strimpler, Biochemistry 1987, 26, 2611.
- [19] R. A. Carron, J. M. Maran, L. Montero, Fernandozalgo, A. A. Dominguez, Plantes Medicinales et Phytother. 1987, 21, 195; Atta-ur-Rahman, M. I. Choudhary, W. J. Thomsen, 'Bioassay Techniques for Drug Development', Harward Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2001, p. 16.
- [20] H. Blank, G. Rewbell, Arch. Derm. 1965, 92, 322; S. S. Shaukat, N. A. Khan, F. Ahmed, Pak. J. Bot. 1980, 12, 97.

Received July 26, 2001